Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/928,890	MCDONALD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sabiha Qazi	1616	
All Participants:	icipants: Status of Application: <u>Ph.D.</u>		
(1) Sabiha Qazi, Ph.D. (Examiner).	(3)		
(2) <u>David M. Kohn (Attorney)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 25 September 2006	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: Yes			
Claims discussed: Yes			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Application No. 09/928,890

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: EXaminer called about the status of this application. The issues surrounding this application, claims and declaration were discussed. Examiner told Mr. Kohn that if there is any criticality of the invention Applicants should amend the claims accordingly and explain how there invention would not have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention was made.